



GLOBAL GEOPARKS NETWORK

International Association on Geoparks

CELEBRATING EARTH HERITAGE – SUSTAINING LOCAL COMMUNITIES

Haute Provence Geopark, Musée Promenade,
10 Montée Bernard Dellacasagrande,
BP 156, 04005 Cedex Digne les Bains – France.

www.globalgeoparksnetwork.org

14th GGN Advisory Committee Meeting

Friday 28 November 2025, at 12:00 GMT

MINUTES

1. Welcome and adoption of the Agenda

Artur Sá (GGN President) welcomed the participants to the first meeting of the new elected AC after Kütralkura meeting and mentioned the importance of the annual reports both for National and Institutional level and gave the floor to the new Chair of AC Jose Luis Palacio to begin the meeting.

Jose Luis Palacio (Acting Chair of the GGN Advisory Committee/Mexico) briefly presented himself as the new Chair of AC and welcomed all the participants to the meeting and expressed his grateful for their participation.

Then, presented the items on the agenda plus one item which was not included **6. Any other Business** and asked the members of the Advisory Committee for any comments/suggestions and for the adoption of the agenda.

Decision: The agenda was adopted unanimously.

2. Minutes of the 5th/2025 GGN AC Meeting

Jose Luis Palacio (Acting Chair of the GGN Advisory Committee/Mexico) Informed the participants for the document of the minutes of the previous AC meeting which held in Kütralkura and presented an additional document which is highlighting the most important issues which were discussed and the decisions that was taken. Then asked for any comments or suggestions according to these documents and asked for the adoption of the minutes of the 5th/2025 GGN AC Meeting.

Decision: The Minutes of the 5th/2025 GGN AC Meeting was adopted unanimously.

3. GGN Strategic Action Plan 2025-2027 (as agreed upon by the 5th/2025 GGN AC Meeting and adopted by the 5th GGN General Assembly)

Jose Luis Palacio (Acting Chair of the GGN Advisory Committee/Mexico) The meeting proceeded to item number three, the Strategic Action Plan. The complete action plan was displayed on the screen, and a few key points were highlighted regarding the summary of the strategic plan.

A short summary document was presented which had been prepared in four languages. This summary includes only the main pillars and actions; the indicators are not part of the abbreviated version. The intention behind providing multilingual versions was to improve accessibility and understanding for a broader audience, especially for the GeoLAC colleagues.

In many regions—such as Latin America—numerous colleagues are not fully fluent in English. Having this summary in their own language allows them to better understand the framework guiding the GGN’s work. These translated summaries can also be valuable resources for Geopark managers and national committees wishing to disseminate information about the GGN’s activities.

Participants were invited to use these documents if they found them helpful; otherwise, they may choose alternative approaches. The goal is simply to support broader communication and ensure that the core ideas of the GGN Strategic Action Plan are accessible to as many people as possible.

Any comments or suggestions on this matter were welcomed.

Nickolas Zouros (GGN General Secretary) It was clarified that the content presented under item number three reflects decisions that have already been formally adopted. The key purpose of this agenda point was to engage members of the Advisory Committee in a discussion on how the Strategic Action Plan can be effectively implemented.

The plan was adopted in Kütralkura, but without concrete follow-up actions—particularly at the level of National Networks—it risks remaining a declaration rather than a functioning framework. If no steps are taken, the GGN may find itself in the same position year after year, having an approved action plan but no actual progress to report.

For this reason, it was emphasized that it is essential to open the discussion and hear perspectives from different countries on how they envision implementing the plan. The Strategic Action Plan was designed as an overarching framework, recognizing that countries may have differing conditions, priorities, and approaches. For example, some countries face significant natural hazards and may place higher priority on related actions, while others may focus on different strategic areas.

The discussion was therefore intended to explore how each country interprets and applies the plan, ensuring that it becomes a living, operational tool rather than a static document.

Clare Glanville (Vice-Chair of the GGN Advisory Committee/Ireland) The short translations of the executive summary were considered very useful, providing a high-level overview that national Geopark networks can easily share so everyone becomes

familiar with the key points. However, it was emphasized that the next crucial step is to report on the actions arising from the Strategic Plan.

The Advisory Committee noted the need to determine how this reporting should take place. Should there be a standardized reporting approach for all AC members? Or How frequently should reports be submitted? Or Should Strategic Plan reporting be incorporated into the National Network reports?

It was also highlighted that the Strategic Plan is broad and comprehensive. Therefore, not every Geopark or national forum is expected to report on every action, but rather only on those relevant to their context. Additionally, certain actions fall under the responsibility of specific working groups, raising further questions about how these groups should report and how the information will be collected.

The Committee agreed that these points require further discussion to ensure a clear and effective reporting mechanism.

Chris Woodley-Stewart (United Kingdom) Suggested that annual reporting would be sufficient and proposed a practical way to support this process. He recommended that each national committee receive a copy of the Strategic Plan document, as presented on the screen, but with an additional column added for reporting on each section.

This would create a dedicated monitoring version of the document, alongside the original plan. Committees could then provide their annual input directly within this structure. As noted, if a committee has no updates for a particular section, that would not be an issue, the key value lies in providing a consistent, organized format for all contributors.

Such a structured monitoring column would ensure that everyone reports against the same elements in a clear, coherent, and easy-to-review manner.

Walter Jonkers (The Netherlands) He agreed with the points raised by Nickolas and Chris. He explained that the Action Plan had already been discussed within the Dutch Geoparks National Forum. As a result, the forum decided to develop a phased implementation approach, outlining how to put the plan into practice over time, since full implementation cannot be achieved within a single year.

They will proceed step by step, establishing priorities and integrating progress into their annual national report.

Charalambos Fassoulas (EGN Vice-Coordinator/Greece) Emphasized, that measuring and monitoring the Strategic Action Plan is essential. To achieve this, the plan must be translated into local action. This requires all representatives of National Networks to actively communicate the existence and importance of the Action Plan to their members. Although all GGN members were informed through the news feed, not everyone reads or absorbs all the information; therefore, national networks must reinforce the message directly.

He noted that the plan should be applied not only at the International level, but also within Regional Networks—for example, as the EGN intends to adapt its own action plan in alignment with the GGN—and at the individual Geopark level. This will not be simple, as many Geoparks and networks already operate under existing management plans with fixed durations. These structures will need to be adjusted to integrate the new strategic priorities.

Once national networks have informed their Geoparks and established the necessary adaptations, the most appropriate monitoring system can be developed. He supported the idea mentioned by Chris of adding an additional column to the document for reporting purposes. However, he noted potential technical constraints: not all countries can easily use shared platforms like Google Forms. As an alternative, the GGN could develop a dedicated reporting platform where each Geopark can submit annual updates under the corresponding action or activity, using the established indicators.

This reporting should ideally be integrated into the annual reports of National Networks, to avoid excessive duplication of work. Such a coordinated approach would allow the GGN to effectively collect, compile, and communicate the global progress and visibility of its activities.

Nickolas Zouros (GGN General Secretary) Remarked that the discussion represented an excellent start. He fully agreed with Chris's proposal that, by the end of 2026, the Advisory Committee should report on the first year of implementation of the Strategic Action Plan. However, they noted that, so far, only Walter had presented a concrete example of initiating this process.

Emphasized that although having a reporting mechanism in place for 2026 is essential, the process must begin immediately. All National Networks, through their representatives on the Advisory Committee, should now start internal discussions on the Action Plan and identify their priority areas. These priorities will serve as the Advisory Committee's guidance to the Executive Board, and timely feedback is needed to determine which of the 12 key actions and 4 strategic pillars should be addressed first at the global level.

Ideally, this feedback should be provided either now or at the latest during the next Advisory Committee meeting at the end of January. He emphasized the importance of this collective input and expressed appreciation for the contributions made so far. He also invited other National Networks to share how they intend to begin their discussions and implementation planning for the Action Plan.

Martina Paskova (National Geoparks Council of Czech Republic) Expressed full support for Nickolas's suggestion, noting that each geopark and National Committees has different priorities, making discussion essential. Since it is impossible to implement all actions simultaneously, identifying key priorities is necessary.

She added that, besides setting priorities, National Committees should also examine potential barriers to implementing them. A country may consider an action a priority but still face obstacles in carrying it out.

Therefore, suggested two tasks at the national level: 1) determine the priorities within the Strategic Action Plan, and 2) identify the barriers that could hinder their implementation.

Jose Luis Palacio (Acting Chair of the GGN Advisory Committee/Mexico) Shared the experience from Mexico, noting that the Strategic Action Plan has been distributed but discussions have not yet started. They plan to meet with the new authorities in their geopark, which covers nine municipalities with recently changed mayors.

Each geopark is organized differently, and the Mexican team is preparing a three-year strategic plan aligned with the GGN Strategic Plan to ensure consistency in national and international reporting.

Emphasized that the first step is disseminating the plan and identifying which actions are relevant for each geopark. While full reporting may become clearer over the next year, future Advisory Committee meetings can provide space to discuss implementation progress, key priorities, and challenges in different local contexts.

Nickolas Zouros (GGN General Secretary) Noted that the priorities of the different National Committees are still awaited. It is important to identify which actions each committee considers most important for the GGN.

The Advisory Committee serves as the main body to exchange with National Networks. Once each network discusses the Action Plan, their top priorities—first and second—can be presented in the next meeting, but a clear plan for this discussion needs to be established.

Setsuya Nakada (Japan) Regarding the strategic plan for 2025–2027, the action plan was created by collecting ideas from National Geoparks Networks and selecting representative actions. These actions are illustrative examples and not mandatory for every network.

The Japan network, for instance, reviewed all key actions and proposed several actions for each, reflecting only a selection in the action plan. Monitoring is therefore not required for every action. Networks can focus on priority actions, reporting annually on the key actions they carried out. An additional column for reporting, as suggested by Chris, may be useful but is not mandatory.

Clare Glanville (Vice-Chair of the GGN Advisory Committee/Ireland) Suggested at the next AC meeting or in advance, data could be collected from each National Forum. This would allow representatives to present the key priorities of their geoparks to the Global Geoparks Network.

Jose Luis Palacio (Acting Chair of the GGN Advisory Committee/Mexico) It was noted that, although the action plan is very comprehensive with many indicators, National Geoparks may identify additional indicators based on their specific needs. This experience will help enrich the action plan over time. Contributions can be considered not only at the next meeting, but throughout the year as discussions and updates progress.

Walter Jonkers (The Netherlands) Suggested that, while monitoring the action plan is essential, GGN could highlight a selected priority every six months, showcasing good examples from different geoparks on social media. This approach would raise global awareness of the plan while allowing national geopark networks to prioritize and implement actions locally, combining worldwide visibility with practical field-level impact.

Decision: All the participants as National representatives in the AC must discuss in National level about the priorities that may have and present them during the next AC meeting, in January.

4. GGN Annual Reports of Activities 2024 (National, Regional & Institutional Members)

Jose Luis Palacio (*Acting Chair of the GGN Advisory Committee/Mexico*) Item number four addressed the reporting activities within GGN. Currently, there are 229 UNESCO Global Geoparks across 50 countries, all represented in the Advisory Committee. Reports exist at three levels: national networks, regional networks, and individual geoparks.

As of the latest check, 17 out of 34 national reports have been submitted for 2024 (50%), showing improvement from two weeks prior when only six were received. However, many reports are still pending. The 2025 reports will be due in February 2025, and all members are encouraged to prepare and submit them on time.

Regarding regional networks, three out of five 2024 reports have been received. Prompt submission is essential, especially for preparing future GGN reports and supporting revalidation missions.

At the individual geopark level, reporting has declined over recent years: while 2019 saw nearly 90% submission, 2024 records show only 65 out of 213 reports (~30%). Timely reporting is crucial to monitor geopark activities, support evaluators, and maintain an updated global overview.

All members are reminded to submit pending reports and ensure timely submissions at national, regional, and individual levels.

Nickolas Zouros (*GGN General Secretary*) Mentioned that the list has been updated and the report for the National Geoparks Network are increased from 17 to 21 out of 32.

Clare Glanville (*Vice-Chair of the GGN Advisory Committee/Ireland*) Suggested that it could be useful for members to have a standardized reporting template. This would ensure that all networks provide the same information at a consistent level of detail. While the GGN website already offers guidelines and suggestions for report content, a more structured form—such as a template with fields or drop-down boxes for data like the number of members in a national network—could make reporting easier and more uniform.

This approach would allow the GGN to collect consistent information across networks. However, participation would remain voluntary, as some networks may prefer to maintain their own reporting formats.

Charalambos Fassoulas (*EGN Vice-Coordinator/Greece*) It was noted that the annual reporting template already exists and is currently in use, for example within the European network, following the GGN format. This template has been used to report annual activities, and the 2024 report has already been submitted for some geoparks.

It was suggested that the Regional Networks could forward the collected reports to GGN to ensure a complete overview. While the template has been effective so far, further discussion may be needed to determine if additional fields should be included to align with reporting on the strategic action plan.

Clare Glanville (*Vice-Chair of the GGN Advisory Committee/Ireland*) Took the floor and presented the new under-process document for reporting which it's based on the template that's already on the GGN website.

Jose Luis Palacio (Acting Chair of the GGN Advisory Committee/Mexico) Mentioned that of course if this final document will be approved by the members of the AC this will be sent to the GGN Executive Board for approval.

Martina Paskova (National Geoparks Council of Czech Republic) Generally agreed that this is a very good idea, as it would make the reporting process easier and less time-consuming for everyone. Using a standardized template would also simplify the collection and evaluation of responses, making it much easier to summarize information across all geoparks. Overall, the approach was seen as more functional and effective.

Kristin Rangnes (Norway) Emphasized that reporting is very important, both for general purposes and for the GGN Executive Board to review and analyze responses. The use of a template was considered an excellent idea, as it ensures that all reports cover the same information and allows for easier and more consistent recording.

Nickolas Zouros (GGN General Secretary) Reminded that, in addition to the annual report, GGN members are also required to submit the SDG template. This year, the number of submissions is lower than in previous years, and the Secretariat has sent individual reminders to those Geoparks that have not yet reported. Completing these reports is essential to demonstrate the network's commitment to the SDGs, as showcased during the UNESCO General Conference, where GGN representatives highlighted the role of Geoparks as implementation fields for the SDGs.

The contribution of UNESCO Global Geoparks was recognized by the Assistant Director-General as a significant support to UNESCO's work. Timely submission of the SDG templates will allow GGN to present its impact to the new Director-General, emphasizing the network's role in sustainable development at the local level. Members are encouraged to submit their SDG reports by 5 December 2025 to ensure this important contribution is documented and communicated.

Puríður Aradóttir Braun (Iceland) Highlighted the need for a clear overview for new representatives within the GGN. Suggested that having guidelines, a visual board, or a timeline could help new managers or staff in Geoparks understand what is expected on a yearly basis, such as report submissions or other key tasks.

Additionally, questions were raised regarding the revalidation process, including when UNESCO notifications are sent and how to track the steps. A simplified, accessible version of guidelines would help Geoparks navigate their responsibilities and avoid surprises, ensuring staff are aware of deadlines and expectations within their national networks.

Nickolas Zouros (GGN General Secretary) Shared the link for the GGN Welcome Guide document and mentioned that the GGN Secretariat has widely distributed the document, which has been updated twice. It was noted that at the beginning of next year, it would be useful to prepare a general document focused specifically on reporting. However, all necessary information is already included in the existing document shared in the chat and is available on the GGN website under publications.

Charalambos Fassoulas (EGN Vice-Coordinator/Greece) Returning to the discussion on templates and reporting, it was noted that GGN currently has separate reports: the annual report, the SDG report, and the report on the implementation of the action plan. Having multiple reports can create confusion for members, who may forget or overlook

some obligations. It was suggested that a working group be established within the AC to review all existing templates, identify future reporting needs, and develop a single unified template. This consolidated template would likely be an Excel-based tool with drop-down menus, allowing members to link activities to targets or priorities. Such a template would streamline data collection and make it easier to extract and use the information. The working group would prepare proposals for discussion by the AC.

Jose Luis Palacio (Acting Chair of the GGN Advisory Committee/Mexico) Suggested that a task force could be established to address this issue. However, it was also recommended to prepare a preliminary proposal before opening the discussion fully, in order to provide a solid basis for dialogue and identify the best possible approach for clear and efficient reporting.

Clare Glanville (Vice-Chair of the GGN Advisory Committee/Ireland) The speaker agreed with the previous point and emphasized that having one consolidated report could simplify the process for everyone, given their busy schedules and existing administrative duties. They supported the idea of establishing a task force, noting that it would help manage the workload effectively and make reporting easier.

Martina Paskova (National Geoparks Council of Czech Republic) Fully agreed with Babis proposal, supporting the idea of one online report. They highlighted that an online questionnaire, as suggested by Claire, would allow easy completion, standard answers for faster input, and simpler collection. This approach would streamline reporting for the geopark community and facilitate the preparation of the GGN report as a whole.

Decisions: 1) Discuss further for this new approach during the next meetings when the document will be ready for sharing and if the GGN AC members approve it, it can be sent to the GGN Executive Board for adoption. 2) Reminder to all those who have not sent the SDGs template for 2024 to send it as soon as possible in order to have the complete SDGs report by GGN.

5. GGN 2025-26 Calendar of Events (including AC meetings)

Jose Luis Palacio (Acting Chair of the GGN Advisory Committee/Mexico) The calendar was displayed, showing that the meeting is held in November 2025, with two remaining activities for the year: World Soil Day and International Mountain Day in December. The calendar for 2026 includes four Advisory Committee meetings scheduled for January, April, June, and November. Several important events are planned for the coming year, including the International Tourism Fair (FITUR) in Madrid in January, with strong involvement from the Spanish Geoparks Forum. The floor was opened for updates on preparations for FITUR by Karmah Salman.

Karmah Salman (Spain) The invitation for FITUR has been sent to the GGN and EGN, and it is expected that they will distribute it to all Global and European Geoparks. The booth is open and free for all GGN members, and there is also the option to make presentations. The booth is funded by the Spanish Commission for UNESCO and the Spanish Geoparks, as part of a collaboration with GeoLAC and the Latin America network. Emmaline will attend as a representative of GeoLAC, but all GGN members worldwide are welcome to participate. Upon receiving the invitation from GGN and

EGN, interested geoparks should respond. The GGN will provide leaflets, maps, and GGN cards with QR codes for distribution at the booth.

Jose Luis Palacio (Acting Chair of the GGN Advisory Committee/Mexico) Expressed personal appreciation for the continued support of Latin American countries in participating in FITUR over recent years, highlighting the role of the Spanish Forum and the Spanish UNESCO Commission. Thanks were given to all those who have promoted geoparks at the fair, noting that GeoLAC will now have a representative attending. While FITUR is a key event, other fairs, such as ITB in Berlin in March, were mentioned, and the calendar of events is indicative rather than exhaustive. Suggestions for additional events are welcome, and the calendar can be updated and redistributed. Attendees were reminded to keep the 2026 Advisory Committee meeting dates in mind, with the next meeting scheduled for January. Participants were invited to review the calendar carefully and propose any additions.

Nickolas Zouros (GGN General Secretary) Asked Alain Petit from Belgium to give the feedback about the ITB 2026.

Alain Petit (Belgium) Information was provided about ITB Berlin, which will take place from 3 to 5 March 2026. A total of 11 geoparks will participate, including several from Germany, as well as from Portugal, Poland, Norway, China, Austria, and Finland. The ITB booth will cover 32 square meters. A preparatory meeting is scheduled for 2 December, organized by Carlos, who invited all participants to discuss logistics, the GGN slogan, and the planned tours for the event.

Jose Luis Palacio (Acting Chair of the GGN Advisory Committee/Mexico) Alain's information was acknowledged, and it was noted that while these two tourism fairs are among the most important globally, they are not the only opportunities for geoparks. Other regional fairs, particularly in Latin America and some other countries, also have an international dimension and are valuable for geopark promotion. Members are invited to suggest additional tourism events in which geoparks could participate. Furthermore, two important international days have been proposed for celebration: the Day to Combat Desertification and Drought and World Wetlands Day. Contributions and suggestions will be shared via the GGN website and news feed.

Charalambos Fassoulas (EGN Vice-Coordinator/Greece) At the end of October, a workshop was organized by the UNESCO Venice Office at the Muskau Arch UNESCO Global Geopark, bringing together participants from Germany and Poland. The workshop focused on the use of science and technology in UNESCO designations, particularly in biospheres and global geoparks, to implement international environmental and disaster risk reduction policies.

Several GGN members participated, presenting notable examples of their contributions to the SDGs and disaster risk reduction. About 30 participants from Europe and Asia attended, including representatives from national UNESCO commissions and ministries.

A key outcome of the workshop was a collaboration proposal by UNEP for Global Geoparks. Many geoparks already contribute significantly to the Sendai Framework for Disaster Risk Reduction, and this collaboration aims to develop a way to monitor and highlight these contributions. By establishing additional monitoring questions or

frameworks, the GGN can demonstrate to the global community its active role in disaster risk reduction—an area of high importance for UNESCO. The network will discuss how to proceed with this collaboration at the global level.

Bojan Režun (Slovenia) Suggested a slightly different approach, highlighting the importance of feedback for evaluators, geoparks, and local communities. Over recent years, many documents have been submitted for evaluation, but often there has been little feedback on whether the actions and activities are effective or aligned with expectations.

The proposal is to organize an online meeting under the umbrella of UNESCO to provide constructive feedback, discuss what is working well, and identify areas for improvement. This would benefit both evaluators and the geoparks being assessed, fostering better communication and understanding within the GGN framework.

Martina Paskova (National Geoparks Council of Czech Republic) Noted that artificial intelligence is becoming a highly relevant and rapidly evolving topic, impacting many areas, including geoparks. This issue was prominently discussed at the UNESCO General Conference, particularly in connection with biosphere reserves and geoparks as laboratories for sustainable development.

The observation emphasized that AI could significantly influence future geopark activities, especially in interpretation, communication, and educational initiatives. While it was not proposed to create a dedicated working group, the participant suggested that existing thematic groups consider how AI might shape their work and the development of geopark programs moving forward.

Jose Luis Palacio (Acting Chair of the GGN Advisory Committee/Mexico) As final remark, emphasizing that the agenda presented reflects a significant amount of work carried out over the past year. Preparing the minutes, the strategic action plan, and the various documents required substantial effort, time, and numerous discussions throughout multiple meetings.

Expressed his sincere appreciation to the former Chair of the Advisory Committee, Setsuya Nakada for his substantial contributions. He also extended gratitude to the previous Executive Board and all involved working groups for their dedication in assembling the documentation and supporting the committee's work.

Finally, concluded by acknowledging that many tasks still lie ahead but expressed confidence that the committee will continue progressing with the same commitment and quality as before.

The meeting closed at 14:01 GMT

GGN AC Documents & Useful links

1. [Minutes of the 5th/2025 GGN AC Meeting](#)
2. [GGN Long Term Strategy 2025-2035](#)
3. [GGN Strategic Action Plan 2025-2027](#)
4. [GGN Calendar of Events 2025-26](#)
5. [Summary of the Strategic Plan 2025-2027 in four languages \(ES, EN, FR, PT\)](#)
6. [GGN Welcome Guide](#)

Participants & Observers

a/a	PARTICIPANTS	Country
1	PALACIO Jose Luis	GGN Advisory Committee Acting Chair / Mexico
2	GLANVILLE Clare	GGN Advisory Committee Vice-Chair / Ireland
3	Alain PETIT	Belgium
4	GUIMARÃES Eduardo	Brazil
5	PASKOVA Martina	Czech Republic
6	HERRERA Patricia	Chile
7	ZHENG Yuanyuan	China
8	PAVIC Goran	Croatia
9	Myriam Piray Quezada	Ecuador
10	HERMANSSON Terttu	Finland
11	Marie-Pierre Berthier	France
12	SAUER Nancy	Germany
13	FASSOULAS Charalambos	Greece
14	BALÁZS Megyeri	Hungary
15	Þuríður Aradóttir Braun	Iceland
16	FARID Zaini Mohamad	Indonesia
17	AMRIKAZEMI Alireza	Iran
18	AMORFINI Alessia	Italy
19	NAKADA Setsuya	Japan
20	ACHBAL Driss	Morocco
21	JONKERS Walter	Netherlands
22	Rangnes Kristin	Norway
23	James Posso Ramirez	Peru
24	PINAT M. Joanne	Philippines

25	POROS Michał	Poland
26	ROCHA Daniela	Portugal
27	Adelina Khasanova	Russian Federation
28	Tamara Jankovid	Serbia
29	REŽUN Bojan	Slovenia
30	SALMAN Karmah	Spain
31	BERGENGREN Anna	Sweden
32	Tuncer Demir	Turkey
33	WOODLEY-STEWART Chris	UK
34	Tran Tan Van	Vietnam

a/a	OBSERVERS	
1	Artur Sa	President
2	Kana Furushawa	Vice - President
3	Nickolas Zouros	General Secretary
4	Kristin Rangnes	Member
5	Karmah Salman	Member
6	Alireza Amrikazemi	Member
7	Thiago Marinho	Member
8	Driss Achbal	Member
9	Martina Paskova	Member
10	VANDENBERGHE Kristof	UNESCO Global Geoparks Secretariat
11	ROSADO Emmaline	GeoLAC Coordinator / Mexico
12	BENTANA Konstantina	Executive Assistant GGN Secretariat / Greece
13	LAMPRAKOPOULOS Aggelos	GGN Secretariat Assistant / Greece

ANNEX I

Agenda

- 1. Welcome and adoption of the Agenda**
- 2. Minutes of the 5th/2025 GGN Advisory Committee Meeting in Kütralkura**
- 3. GGN Strategic Action Plan 2025-2027 (as agreed upon by the 5th/2025 GGN AC Meeting and adopted by the 5th GGN General Assembly)**
- 4. GGN Annual Reports of Activities 2024 (National, Regional & Institutional Members)**
- 5. GGN 2025-26 Calendar of Events (including AC meetings)**
- 6. Any Other Business**

Prof. Jose Luis Palacio

GGN Advisory Committee Chair

Prof. Artur Sa

GGN President